## BEFORE THE MISSOURI ETHICS COMMISSION

OCT 2 1 2024

Missouri Ethics Commission

MISSOURI ETHICS CO	OMMISSION,	)	
	Petitioner,	)	
v. DORIS GRAHAM,		)	Case No. 24-0058-I
Candidate,		, )	
Callalaato,		)	
	Respondent.	)	

### JOINT FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER

The Missouri Ethics Commission ("MEC"), together with Doris Graham ("Respondent"), collectively the "parties" jointly stipulate and consent to action as set forth here (the "agreement").

Respondent acknowledges her right to have this agreement reviewed by counsel. Respondent further acknowledges she has received actual notice of the legal complaint filed by the MEC in this case, have reviewed the contents of the Complaint, and submit to the jurisdiction of the Missouri Ethics Commission.

Respondent further acknowledges she is aware of the various rights and privileges afforded by law, including but not limited to: the right to appear and be represented by counsel at a hearing before the MEC; the right to have all allegations against Respondent proven upon the record by competent and substantial evidence; the right to cross-examine any witnesses appearing at the hearing against Respondent; the right to present evidence on Respondent's behalf at the hearing; and the right to a decision upon the record of the hearing. Being aware of these rights,

Respondent knowingly and voluntarily waives each one of these rights and freely enters into this agreement and agrees to abide by its terms.

I.

The parties mutually agree and stipulate, subject to adoption by the Missouri Ethics Commission, the following shall constitute the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in this matter:

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- Respondent Doris Graham was a candidate for the St. Louis Community
   College Board of Trustees in the April 2024 election.
- 2. Respondent had a candidate committee, Committee to Elect Doris Graham. That committee was terminated in July 2018.
- 3. Pursuant to § 105.961, RSMo, the MEC's staff investigated a complaint filed with the Commission and reported the investigation findings to the Commission.
- 4. Based upon the investigation report, the Commission determined there were reasonable grounds to believe violations of the law had occurred and authorized a hearing pursuant to § 105.961.3, RSMo.
- 5. Respondent used personal funds to pay for campaign signs in support of her candidacy in the April 2024 election. The signs included a disclosure which read, "Paid for by: Committee to Elect Doris A. Graham, Kevin M. Martin, Treasurer."
- 6. The signs should have contained the clear and conspicuous statement: "Paid for by Doris Graham" but did not.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 7. "Any person publishing, circulating, or distributing any printed matter relative to any candidate for public office or any ballot measure shall on the face of the printed matter identify in a clear and conspicuous manner the person who paid for the printed matter with the words 'Paid for by' followed by the proper identification of the sponsor pursuant to this section."

  § 130.031.8, RSMo.
- 8. "[P]rinted matter' shall be defined to include any pamphlet, circular, handbill, sample ballot, advertisement, including advertisements in any newspaper or other periodical, sign, including signs for display on motor vehicles, or other imprinted or lettered material[.]" § 130.031.8, RSMo.
  - 9. Section 130.031.8, RSMo, further states the following:
    - (1) In regard to any printed matter paid for by a candidate from the candidate's personal funds, it shall be sufficient identification to print the first and last name by which the candidate is known.
- 10. There is probable cause to believe that Respondent violated § 130.031.8, RSMo, by purchasing signs in support of her candidacy which failed to include a proper "Paid for by" disclosure statement.

#### II.

Based on the foregoing, the parties mutually agree and stipulate, subject to adoption by the Missouri Ethics Commission, the following shall constitute the Order issued by the Missouri Ethics Commission in this matter:

#### CONSENT ORDER

The Missouri Ethics Commission finds probable cause to believe Respondent violated § 130.031.8, and pursuant to § 105.961.4, hereby ORDERS:

- 1. Respondent shall comply with all relevant sections of Chapter 130.
- 2. It is the order of the Missouri Ethics Commission that a fee is imposed against Respondent in the amount of \$100.00, pursuant to Section 105.961.4(6), RSMo. The fee will be paid by check or money order made payable to the Missouri Ethics Commission.

#### III.

- 1. The parties understand the Missouri Ethics Commission will maintain this agreement as an open record of the Commission.
- 2. This agreement does not bind the Missouri Ethics Commission or restrict the remedies available concerning any violations not expressly detailed here, including any future violations.
- 3. Each party agrees to pay all of their own fees and expenses incurred as a result of this case, its litigation, and/or its disposition.
- 4. Respondent, together with her heirs, assigns, agents, representatives and attorneys, do hereby waive, release, acquit and forever discharge the Missouri Ethics Commission, the Commission's respective members, employees, agents and attorneys including former members, employees, agents and attorneys, of, or from any liability, claim, actions, causes of action, fees, costs, expenses and compensation, including, but not limited to, any claim for attorney's fees and expenses, whether or not now known or contemplated, including, but not limited to, any claims pursuant

to Section 536.087, RSMo, as amended, or any claim arising under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, which now or in the future may be based upon, arise out of, or relate to any of the matters raised in this case or its litigation or from the negotiation or execution of this Joint Stipulation. The parties acknowledge that this paragraph is severable from the remaining portions of this agreement in that it survives in perpetuity even in the event that any court or administrative tribunal deems this agreement or any portion thereof void or unenforceable.

5. This agreement shall become effective immediately upon (1) the signature of all parties; (2) adoption of the Joint Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law by the Missouri Ethics Commission; and (3) issuance of a Final Order by the Commission, without any further action of the parties.

SO AGREED:

DECDO:	NTT TTN	TO DODICE	GRAHAM
$\mathbf{K} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{U}$	NUMBER	$\mathbf{H}^{-1}$	TTKA HAIVI

MISSOURI ETHICS COMMISSION

Data

Stacey Heislen

Date

nace y Trensteri

Interim Executive Director

Brian Hamilton

Date

Attorney for Petitioner

# BEFORE THE MISSOURI ETHICS COMMISSION

Filed

OCT 2 4 2024

MISSOURI ETHICS COMMI	SSION,	)	Missouri Ethics Commission
P	etitioner,	)	
v.		) Case No.	. 24-0058-I
DORIS GRAHAM,		)	
Candidate,		)	
		)	
Res	${f spondent.}$	)	

#### CONSENT ORDER

The Missouri Ethics Commission finds probable cause to believe Respondent violated § 130.031.8, and pursuant to § 105.961.4, hereby **ORDERS**:

- 1. Respondent shall comply with all relevant sections of Chapter 130.
- 2. It is the order of the Missouri Ethics Commission that a fee is imposed against Respondent in the amount of \$100.00, pursuant to Section 105.961.4(6), RSMo. The fee will be paid by check or money order made payable to the Missouri Ethics Commission.

SO ORDERED this 24th day of October, 2024

Robin Wheeler Sanders, Chair Missouri Ethics Commission

Robin Wheeler Sanders